

WAS IT AN ERROR

In our February issue the argument of a contemporary, that Paul made mistakes and *misquoted*, we answered by quoting and properly punctuating the Psalm referred to. Our contemporary, in its last issue, effects to treat the argument lightly, and *pretends* to quote our argument. It appears to do so, but strangely enough omits the very pith of the quotation, upon which our argument rested. Was this a mistake—an accident—or did our contemporary think it would be *more like a misquotation* thus? Charity bids us hope it was a mistake.

=====

— May, 1883 —