NEW YORK CITY.

"The Provincial American" (Houghton Miffilm), by Meredith Nicholson, who has just declined to be Minister to Portugal, is a book that stirs up several live issues. One of the questions is, "Should Smith Go to Church?" Mr. Nicholson states the case thus:

"There is no sound reason why the church should not be required to give an account of its stewardship. If it no church should not be required to give an account of its stewardship. If it no longer attracts men and women in our strenuous and impatient America, then it is manifestly unjust to deny to outsiders the right of criticism.

"Smith now spends his Sunday mornings golfing, or pottering about his garden, or in his club or office; and after the midday meal he takes a nap and loads his family into a motor for a flight countryward.

"Smith is the best of fellows-an

ward.

"Smith is the best of fellows—an average twentieth century American, diligent in business, a kind husband and father, and in politics anxious to vote for what he believes to be the best interests of the country. Smith is far from being a fool, and if by his test of 'What's in it for me?' he finds the church wanting, it is, as he would say, 'up to the church' to expend some of its energy in proving that there is a good deal in it for him.

"Smith will not be won back to the church through appeals to theology, nor by stubborn reaffirmations of creeds and dogmas. I believe it may safely be said that the great body of ministers individually recognize this. Smith's trouble is, if I understand him, not with faith after all, but with works. The church does not impress him as being an efficient machine that yields adequate return upon the investment.

"The economic waste represented in

"The economic waste represented in church investment and administration does not impress Smith favorably, nor does it awaken admiration in Jones or in me. Smith knows that two groceries on opposite sides of the street are usually one too many. We used to be told that denominational rivalry aroused zeal, but this cannot longer be more than an absurd pretense. The idea that competition is essential to the successful extension of Christianity continues to bring into being many rippled and dying churches, as Smith well knows.

whows.

"And he has witnessed, too, a deterioration of the church's power through its abandonment of philanthropic work to secular agencies, while churches of the familiar type, locked up tight all the week save for a prayer meeting and choir practice, have nothing to do. What strikes Smith is their utter wastefulness and futility.

"To him the church is an economic doing business on one day of the immune from taxation, and the last s neighbors to scrape the snow from identified.

her sidewalks!

"The fact that there are within fifteen minutes' walk of his house half a dozen churches, all struggling to maintain themselves and making no appreciable impression upon the community, is not lost upon Smith—the practical, unemotional, burst Smith

### FOR A JEWISH UNIVERSITY.

### Zionists to Discuss Plan for Institution

Zionists to Discuss Plan for Institution in Jerusalem.

At the meeting of the General Executive of the international Zionist organization, held last week in Berlin, it was decided that one of the principal questions to be discussed at the forthcoming Zionist Congress in Vienna should be the advisability of erecting a Jewish university in Jerusalem.

was recognized that the realization of It was recognized that the realization of such a project, in view of present conditions in Palestine, is somewhat premature, but it was declared that the obstacles placed in the way of Russian Jewish students desirous of entering the universities of their native country, or of Germany, were likely to make the adoption of such a plan a necessity before very long. In the course of the proceedings a telegram was received from New York, an-

Religious and Scientific
Gleanings

SHOULD SMITH GO TO CHURCH?

"The Provincial American" (Houghton Mifflin), by Meredith Nicholson, who has

"The Provincial American" (Houghton Mifflin), by Meredith Nicholson, who has

"The Provincial American" (Houghton Mifflin), by Meredith Nicholson, who has

### MADE HEART BEAT AFTER DEATH.

Details of how, following the experiments of Dr. Alexis Carrel, he was able recently to revive the normal action of the human heart ten minutes after death, will be divulged in a paper to be read at the next meeting of the Academy of Medicine by Dr. Bouchon. The paper says: "After a motor car accident I was called in, and immediately perceived that the victim had been killed instantaneously. Despite my assurance that there was no doubt as to his death, the friends of the victim insisted that a desperate attempt should be made at resuscitation, and about ten minmade at resuscitation, and about ten min-utes after the last breath I decided upon

utes after the last breath I decided upon a surgical operation, having diagnosed traumatic rupture of the heart:

"I opened the thorax, and in fifty seconds laid bare the heart. I immediately found about a pint of blood in the pericardium and a heart wound about two and one-half inches long on the inner surface of the left ventricle. After suture I proceeded to apply my method of reviving heart action.

of the left ventrice. After suture I proceeded to apply my method of reviving heart action.

"After filling all the cardiac cavities with a special organic liquid I made a rapid tracheotomy and introduced oxygen by the tracheal tube, while my assistant performed artificial respiration tractions. I then began alternate rhythmic auricular massage of the heart, and at the end of about a minute I clearly perceived that the heart had resumed its physiological tonicity, and, to my great surprise, it continued to contract by its own action. Radical pulsation then became perceptible, and after I had closed the thoracic flap the heart continued to contract for thirty-five minutes. At the end of this time the contractions suddenly ceased and all subsequent efforts to reestablish them were in vain.

"Had there been morely conding trauma-

vain.

"Had there been merely cardiac traumatism by a dagger thrust, followed by instantaneous death, I think my method of revival, applied under favorable conditions, might possibly have given an appreciable prolongation of life; but this is merely hypothesis.

"I consider that this case has given valuable information from a scientific standpoint, as it is not merely a desperate one, but a confirmed case of death."

—N. Y. Journal.

SUNDAY'S RECORD, 1912-1913.

Would \$81,449 salary for nine months' work interest you? President Wilson gets \$75,000 straight salary and \$25,000 for traveling expenses for a full year's work.

When Billy Sunday closed his year's work at South Bend, Ind., he had received a total of \$81,449 for 57,893 converts in his five campaigns, commencing last September at East Liverpool, O., and including revivals at McKeesport, Pa., Columbus, O., Wilkes-Barre, Pa., and South Bend. Columbus contributed \$21,100, more than one-fourth of the amount. Wilkes-Barre, Pa. topped Columbus by giving the evangelist maintain lumbus contributed \$21,100, more than onepression upon the community, is not lost topped Columbus by giving the evangelist upon Smith—the practical, unemotional, \$23,527—the highest amount he ever rebusy Smith.

"Smith shakes his head ruefully when you suggest it. It is to him a bad investment that ought to be turned over to a receiver for liquidation."—N. Y. American.

Send postal-card request to the edtor for free copies of this paper.
Some of the interesting subjects
you may have for asking are:
Calamities—Why Permitted?
Creed Idols Smashed!
Spiritism is Demonism!
Where Are the Dead?
The Hope of Immortality.
Do You Believe in the Resurrection of the Dead?
Our Lord's Return,
Which is the True Gospel?
The Battle of Armageddon.
The Handwriting on the Wal.
The Sabbath Question.
Parable of Sheep and Goats.
Divine Ordination.
Some Foreign Mission Facts.
What is the Soul? Send postal-card request to the ed-Some

## WHAT IS BAPTISM?

### RIGHT AND WRONG VIEWS REHEARSED

"Are ye able to be baptised with the baptism I am baptised with?"—MATTHEW 20:22.

implies a complete covering with water, and it was not for some centuries that and it was not for some centuries that sprinkling was introduced and determined by the Roman Catholic authorities to be a proper and satisfactory form of baptism. Today, the majority of Christian people follow this custom of sprinkling, designating it baptism.

With others, we object to this users

by the Roman Catholic authorities to be a proper and satisfactory form of baptism.

Today, the majority of Christian people follow this custom of sprinkling, designating it baptism.

With others, we object to this usage, because the Greek word baptizo never signifies sprinkling, and secondly, we object that nothing in the Scriptures ever authorized the baptism of children anyway. In the Scriptures the ordinance of baptism is provided only for "believers"—steking that children are not believers, Lutherans, Roman Catholics and Episcopalians follow the custom of having "believing for them. These are designated godfathers and godmothers who solemly obligate themselves, before God and man, that the child shall be a believer, that they will see to it that the necessary instructions are given so that it can, will, must believe. Some others, who follow the custom of sprinkling infants, take the matter more lightly and call it a christening, although there is a general sense of trepidation if an unchristened child die.

St. Augustine we feel free to question many of his teachings, amongst others the one which led up to this matter of baptizing infants. Under the claim that everybody not a member of the Church of Christ would surely go to eternal torment, St. Augustine made proper the baptism of infants, holding that thus they were received into the church, became subjects of Divine grace, and might be esteemed in fall unbaptized infants according to his theology.

We pass by these changes of the Divine grace, and might be esteemed are route to eternal torment, st. of Divine grace, and might be esteemed and route to eternal torment, st. of Divine grace, and might be esteemed and route to eternal torment, early all the performed during the "dark ages," with livers immersed for the remission of their program during the "dark ages," with livers immersed, are roros that have come down to us from the "Christ ages," and theirs, for our own profit and theirs—for our own profit and theirs—for our own profit and theirs—for our ow

infants, holding that thus they were received into the church, became subjects of Divine grace, and might be esteemed as rescued from eternal torture, the fate of all unbaptized infants according to his theology.

We pass by these changes of the Divine program during the "dark ages," with the suggestion that the few drops of water accompanying the words neither harm nor benefit the infant, and are in no sense of the word the baptism which the Scripture's enjoin. Hence from our standpoint such infants were never baptized at all. We proceed in our review of the subject to the consideration of the three different views entertained by those who practice immersion—all three of which we hold to be erroneous.

The Error of "Triune Immersionists."

Some well-intentioned people, finding this must be the original baptism. This thought seems to them to be corroorated by some, conclude that this must be the original baptism. This sthought seems to them to be corroorated by our Lord's statement, "Haptizing them in the name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Spirit." We hold that these Christian friends are in error in that they have not gone back far enough in their search for the original mode of baptism. The Scriptures and not the theology of the third century should be our guide. Not a word in the New Testament writings suggests Triune immersion, but rather that the one immersion, but rather that the one immersion, but rather that the one immersion is done in the name of and by the authority of the Father as well as of the Son and the Holy Spirit.

The inconsistency of the general mode

Tha

"Are ye able to be baptised with the baptism I am baptised with?"—Matthew 20:221."

CHRISTIAN people in general believe in baptism, recognize it as Scripturally enjoined, and hence as being important. With many the doctrine has burial. Indeed Triune immersionists especially enjoined, and hence in the such force that they fear the eternal torment of those not baptized; hence in the case of the death of an infant one of the "Why face forward?" they answer that it first questions is, "Was it baptized?" the Why face forward?" they answer that it is especially so with Lutherans and Roman Catholics, the latter going so far as to insist that if it be necessary that the child's life shall be sacrificed at the moment of birth, a baptism must be administered in utero.

Looking back we find that in the third century immersion was universally practiced, first of adults and later on of infants. In harmony with this nearly all the ancient church ruins show remains of Father died and that the Holy Spirit died. large baptistries. The word baptism itself implies a complete covering with water, and it was not for some centuries that sistency. Inappropriate sace in consideration of the surface and it was not for some centuries that sistency. Inappropriate sace, in consideration and in the total sace in the surface and that the Holy Spirit died. face downward, either in our time of ever but especially we call their attention to the fact that when they baptize face for-ward three times it must signify not only that our Lord Jesus died but that the Father died and that the Holy Spirit died Such a view of the matter is quite suffi-cient to show its unreasonableness, incon-sistency inappropriateness. Surely our Apostles never estab sistency, inappropriateness. Lord and the Apostles never Triune immersion; it is, therefore, one of the errors that have come down to us from the "dark ages," and should be aban

# The Bible Students Monthly

PUBLISHED AT 82 BEEKMAN ST., NEW YORK CITY

C. W. HEK, Editor.

Monthly, 12c. a Year. Single Copies, 1c.

An Independent, Unsectarian Religious Newspaper, Specially Devoted to the Forwarding of the Laymens Home Missionary Movement for the Glory of God and Good of Humanity.

# ADMIRES PASTOR RUSSELL

I lift my pen, not in defense of any doctrine, creed or dogma, but in defense of a man, in defense of fairness, justice and righteousness. Pastor C. T. Russell, of Brooklyn, N. Y., stands out prominently as a target for the pulpits and religious press of the country today. I believe there is no one more bitterly persecuted, harshly condemned, woefully misrepresented and misunderstood than this fearless, conscientious man of God.

No infidel writer such as Vicentia.

man of God.

No infidel writer, such as Hume, Voltaire or Ingersoli, ever suffered such ruthless attacks as have been made upon Mr. Russell.

Whether this persecution and misrepresentation is due to prejudice or ignorance of this man's real character and writing, is not for me to say, but I believe both are elements that play a part in the widespread criticism uttered both from the pulpit and the press.

elements that play a part in the widespread criticism uttered both from the purposand the press.

Naturally, men will resent any attack made upon the creed of their persuasion, for they hold to their religious creed and affiliations with more tenacity than they realize, until some strong mind, backed by Scripture proof, begins to uproot their doctrine by showing their inconsistencies and errors.

This is what Mr. Russell proceeds to do. The fact is, very few of us have taken the pains to examine, critically, by the light of the Divine Word, the doctrine handed down to us by our fathers. This accounts for the fact that Methodist parents raise Methodist children and Baptists raise Baptist children, etc.

I am amazed beyond measure to read so many fallacious statements published regarding the character and writings of this man. He has been called a "gray bearded egotist," a "bigot," a "haberdasher," and many other uncomplimentary terms have been applied to him, and statements made which I know to be without foundation, and which strike me as being not only very unkind, but very un-Christian. On the contrary, he manifests a very meek and humble spirit, and urges his readers not to be content with his argument, but to go to the Scriptures which he points out, and read for themselves.

He is charged with teaching heresy. An article by a minister was recently published in a religious paper, in which he gave a lengthy criticism of Mr. Russell's writings, referring to them as heresy (apparently forgetting that there was a time when his own denominational views were considered heretical). This article not only misrepresented Mr. Russell, but showed a lack of critical comparison of his writings with

the Scriptures.

For instance, the statement was made in that article that Mr. Russell denies the resurrection of Jesus Christ. The truth is, I doubt whether one of his critics can greach a stronger sermon on the resurrection than one by him which was recently published in about 1,550 newspapers in the United States, Canada, Great Britain and elsewhere, and was read doubtless by 12,000,000 people. It showed most conclusively that the world's hope of everlasting life rests entirely upon the resurrection of the dead. Jesus Christ being the First-Fruit of them that slept, God thus gives assurance unto all men—a hope of a resurrection, as He raised Christ from the dead.

The resurrection is the thread of his discussion from the beginning of the first volume to the end of the sixth volume of his well-known works, STUDIES IN THE SCRIPTURES.

Mr. Russell was further charged in the same article with denving the Atonement

Mr. Russell was further charged in the same article with denying the Atonement

Mr. Russell was further charged in the same article with denying the Atonement made by Christ between God and man. Nothing could be further from the truth.

Mr. Russell's first sentence in the fifth volume, entitled "The Atonement Between God and Man," is as follows:

"The Atonement lies at the very foundation of the Christian religion." He sets forth the philosophy of the Ransom in such a clear, logical way as has never been done before by any other theologian, presenting such an array of Scriptures as would satisfy any fair-minded, thinking man.

No one need be in doubt about his views on these vital subjects.

Besides misstating his views on the Bible, his very motives have been questioned, as it has repeatedly been charged that he is actuated by financial motives. The fact is, he was a man of wealth 40 years ago. When he began to spread his views on the Bible, he spent at the outset \$42,000 in the publication of a pamphlet entitled "Food for Thinking Christians," which was distributed free to every English speaking congregation in the United States, Great Britain and Canada, following it up with repeated large donations. His books, which are published in many languages, by the millions, are sold practically at cost, nor does he receive a penny of royalty from the sale of them. He never takes a collection. He has belted the world with his writings, and has himself compassed the earth and preached the Gospel to every nation of importance in the world.

himself compassed the earth and preached the Gospel to every nation of importance in the world.

His private life also has been assailed by the same class of critics. These charges also are seen to be without foundation, when we seek the origin of such reports. No one who knows anything of his labors in theological research will ever sneer at Mr. Russell. He is not to be measured by common standards. When you look at his matchless labors, his scholarly attainments, his donations to the world, in his writings, his time, his labor and money spent for the enlightenment of others, all flippant criticism becomes contemptible and mean.

As a logician and theologian he is doubtless without a peer today. In his research for Biblical Truth and harmony he is without a parallel in this Age.

Without a blemish in his character, with the loftiest ideals of God, and the possibilities of man, he towers like a giant, unmatched. His defects fade into insignificance. He has been too busy spreading Divine Truth, as he honestly sees it, to waste time in frivolous speculation in matters not in some way connected with man's future state, as outlined in "The Plan of the Ages." Unselfish, liberal and courteous to Christians of all denominations, but fearlessly condemning, in unmeasured terms, the errors and inconsistencies in their creeds, as he sees them, he ranks with immortal benefactors, and is stamping his opinion on the world as no other man has done since the days of the Reformation.

Multitudes flock to hear him, Jews, Gentiles of all creeds, both Catholics and Protestants, and infidels, many of whom have become strong in faith, where they were before tottering on the verge of despair. Many read his sermons in private, but through fear of criticism and ostracism dare not mention the fact, for they have been warned against reading them. In some places his books have been burned, by the advice of shepherds of flocks, where his books have been discovered.

Efforts to throttle the press to prevent the publication of his sermons ha

Efforts to throttle the press to prevent the publication of his sermons have repeatedly been made. Why this opposition?

Why would any one oppose investigation or revelation and searching the Scriptures? Why? What right has any one to prevent free thought, free speech, or the freedom of the press? What manner of men are we?

Let men, preachers or what not, beware of blocking the way of such a man. Jesus said, "And whosoever shall offend one of these little ones that believe in Me, it is better that a millstone were hanged about his neck, and he were cast into the sea."—Mark 9:42.

Better he like Gamaliel in the days of the Apostles, when St. Peter and others

Better be like Gamaliel in the days of the Apostles, when St. Pefer and others were on trial. Gamaliel rose up and said, "Refrain from these men and let them alone, for if this work be of men it will come to naught; but if it be o' God, ye cannot overthrow it for you fight against God."

## "WITH WHAT JUDGMENT YE JUDGE"

RECENTLY we received a copy of a tack Pastor Russell with slander and letter sent to Rev. Moorehead. We abuse, and such a manifestation of alarm have not learned if it received a reply. and viciousness as to suggest that they The spirit of the letter is kind and moderate, hence we publish it. It is in honor of men and titles and scholarship marked contrast with the various sland musty creeds will be scattered as the derous attacks made upon the Editor of chaff of the summer's threshing floor.

THE WATCH TOWER. A number of religious papers of various denominations at-

ferent is his attitude. He does indeed attack false doctrines unsparingly, but he never, in his sermons or any of his writings, descends to personalities. It is because the clashing creeds cannot be sustained by their devotees that the latter provides their displacements at the attacking attacking y their devotees that the latter their displeasure by attacking manifest

cause the clashing creeds cannot be sustained by their devotees that the latter manifest their displeasure by attacking Pastor Russell.

The letter follows:
Rev. William G. Moorehead, D.D.
Dear Dr. Moorehead:

I read some time ago your article in the seventh volume of Fundamentals on the teachings of Mr. C. T. Russell. I felt like writing you at that time but did not. Recently I read the summary of your article in one of my religious papers and have had an increasing impression to write you. I hesitate to do so because of the high regard I have always had for you as one of my teachers of twenty years ago, and also because of the high regard I have always had for you as one of my teachers of twenty years in general. Yet I feel also that in the interest of truth and fairness your article should have some attention.

I feel that this article from your pen is unworthy of a man like you. I cannot understand why such a careful student as yourself should make statements such as you make in this article, when they are so manifestly and greatly in error.

In addition to reading five of the six volumes of his "Studies" carefully, and the sixth volume in part, I have also read many other pamphlets, magazne articles and sermons of Pastor Russell's, and also every criticisms I have found or heard of in opposition to his teachings. I was one of his critics for about fifteen years, and I based my criticisms upon reading about half of one chapter of one of his books. A few years ago it occurred to me that I might not understand his full thought, so I took time to inform myself on the subject I had been criticising, and when I

based my criticism upon reading about class row were very careless, to say the based my criticism you read the property of the

solitary reference to the Spirit; it is a casual mention of the Spirit in connection with the Day of Pentecost. The statement is simply made as a historic fact, or rather as an event which marks a stage in the development of the Christian Church. Not one word of teaching has the writer found in Pastor Russell's works as to the distinct personality of the Spirit, or as to His supreme agency in the salvation of sinners."

personality of the Spirit, or as to His supreme agency in the salvation of sinners."

e Now I must say frankly, though courte teously, that I cannot understand bow, or twhy, a man with your record for accuracy could be so careless or dishonest as to make such a statement. In your opening statement you say, "There are six volumes of two thousand pages;" and here you say that you have given these volumes a careful reading, and count but so ONE thousand pages, and then you make a bold and erroneous statement—that the author ignores the Holy Spirit! A judge would not think of rendering a verdict with only half the evidence in, but you espeak boldly in condemnation of Pastor Russell when you are only half way through his books. Now, if you have given these volumes a "careful reading," I do not see how you missed in the fifth volume, pages 163 to 300, where the author gives ONE HUNDRED AND THIRTY-SEVEN PAGES to a full presentation of the person and work of the Holy Spirit, in connection with the redemption of the race of man. How can you explain this? It is true that Pastor, Russell may teach some things concerning the Holy Spirit that you will not agree with, but he does not ignore the Spirit, as you say he does. It is evident that in making this criticism you were very careless, to say the least, and this should make those who are seeking the Truth very cautious about accepting your statements without full verification.

Another mistake which lies on the surface in your article is found on page 125, where it is the page in the surface in your article is found on page 125, where it is the page in the pag



"STUDIES IN THE SCRIPTURES" Eight Million Copies Solds

19 Languages NOW OFFERED AT COST PRICE

Entire Set (over 3,000 pages) \$2.65 Postpaid; (this price also includes one vear's subscription to Pastor Russell's semimonthly Journal, The Watch Fower, sent as a premium to each purchaser). THE TIME HAS COME

When facts well known to theologians should be plainly told to the public.

Order Direct from the Publishers BIBLE & TRACT SOCIETY 13-15-17 Hicks Street, Brooklyn, N. Y.



THE ROYAL

# CHRISTIAN VERSUS ANTI-**CHRISTIAN SOCIALISM**

"Godliness with contentment is great gain"—I TIMOTHY 6:6.

and which sees from the standpoint of God's Word the Divine Power able to correct the difficulties which assail us and all mankind. The godly believer thus instructed, is able to appropriate to himself the Divine promises of providential care and thus to be content with conditions which are not satisfactory.

On the other hand, those who either do not know of the Divine Power, or have lost their faith in Divine Wisdom, chafe more and more under present conditions. And the more discontented they become, the greater is their tendency toward ungodliness—doubt of Divine Love, Wisdom and Power. Self-dependence is a poor substitute and, as disappointments come, the combative find anger, malice, hatred, envy and strife surging through their minds. Then they become rabid socialists and are in the way to eventually become rabid anarchists.

in the way to eventually become rabid anarchists.

Again, we have those who term themselves Christian Socialists. These wellmeaning souls perceive the situation of their brethren and say, Yes, the world should be socially transformed. Its riches should not flow, as at present, into the favored channels, but should be scattered everywhere, for the general refreshment and comfort of mankind as a whole. They philosophize on what God surely would and surely would not approve amongst men, and then declare that all Christians should at once set about to secure to the world of mankind a just division of God's bounties. Their love and zeal for right principles we should and do admire and commend. But we cannot commend their course, their hopes, their preaching, by which they seek to obtain the ends desired. Recognizing the principles of Divine Justice they seek to apply these, forgetful of the fact that they have not the Divine Wisdom necessary to a proper application.

They seem to forget also that justice

plication.

They seem to forget also that has been the same for several the They seem to forget also that justice has been the same for several thousand years past, and that Divine Wisdom has not yet seen fit to establish Divine Justice amongst men. If they think that this is a neglect on God's part which they are wise enough to rectify, the thought is evidently an erroneous one. If they believe, on the contrary, that the time for the establishment of justice was not in the past, but has now come, they should be able to find and point us to a Divine revelation to this effect, practically authorizing them to take their stand now for socialism and explaining that the due time for the prosperity of justice has now come and how they shall proceed and what shall be the outcome. But do they offer us such evidences from the Bible? Do they offer us such proofs as these? Nay, verily. Like the other socialists they are merely discontented, and become more discontented seeking a remedy.

From the Bible standpoint the world is

THE only proper contentment is that which is combined with godliness and which sees from the standpoint of God's Word the Divine Power able to correct the difficulties which assail us and all mankind. The godly believer thus instructed, is able to appropriate to himself the Divine promises of providential care and thus to be content with conditions which are not satisfactory.

On the other hand, those who either do not know of the Divine Power, or have lost their faith in Divine Wisdom, chafe more and more under present conditions, the conditions which are under present conditions, the conditions which are not satisfactory.

striving be even unto blood against the sin of slavery which still defies modern industrialism.

"With widest meaning, then—inclusive of all that the most ardent and uncompromising Socialist lover of liberty has demanded, and embracing besides all that the Christian means when he proclaims the glory of spiritual freedom, Christianity must now take up the challenge and cry with world-wide voice like the sound of many waters and a mighty thunder—'Amen! even so, unite, ye workers; you have but your chains to lose, and you have a world to gain!'"

Those who propose to get Labor out of the "slavery of modern industrialism" should be willing to concede that if now is the proper time for it, God is as much interested in that release as they or we or others could possibly be—yea, much more so. If, then, they have received some special commission authorizing them to preach a new Gospel or a new edition to the old Gospel they should produce the proofs of this authorization. Until we see the proofs we must doubt their existence.

On the contrary, the Scriptures declare

see the proofs we must doubt their existence.

On the contrary, the Scriptures declare that there is but the one hope set before us in the Gospel, even as there is but the one Lord, the one Faith and the one Baptism. These workers and their coadjutors have not succeeded and will not succeed in making the world more happy by preaching to them the Gospel of discontent. Labor today is a hundredfold better fed, better clothed and better housed than in the days of our grandfathers, and it is safe to say that it is a hundredfold more discontented. All of these preachers, with doubtless the very best and noblest of intentions, neglecting the Word of God, the "wisdom that cometh from above" (James 3:17), are really doing injury by their "gospel" of socialism. Our Lord was surrounded by conditions of sickness, sorrow, poverty, etc., so that He could properly declare, "The poor ye have always with you." (Matthew 26:11.) The Apostels were similarly situated. Yet have we any evidence whatever that any of these attempted to break the chains of the "slavery of Labor"? Most assuredly not.

The Redeemer's Example.

While our Lord went about doing good,

We answer that this is all very true, spoken of as the "members of the Body but that the difficulty lies in the fact that of Christ," which is the Church of glory; the Gospel Message is not properly presented to the people. The Message which dom. The Kingdom will not be established until this Elect class is completed. These must share in the sufferings of Christ, and then the glory will immediately follow. consistent one, whereas the message from the majority of pulpits today is an inconsistent one. The people are told, by both Catholics and Protestants, that there is a Heaven for the saintly who before dying shall become firmly established in the graces of the Holy Spirit. As for the masses, they are told by Catholics that they must expect centuries of torment to be prepared for them. And by Protestants they are told that they will have an eternity of torment without hope of a release. And then both Catholics and Protestants unite in telling the poor world that such an arrangement for the future is the provision of a just and loving God, for which they should be deeply thankful, and that the troubles of this present life are partial penances for sin.

Tell the Truth—Shame Satan.

The Truth is so much more reasonable, so much more just, so much more wise and loving, that to every ear that hears it, there comes a satisfaction, a harmony, which error could never produce. God's Word tells us that our race is a convict race—condemned to death because of Father Adam's disobedience—because, as his children, we have inherited a share in his death sentence—not an eternal torment sentence. The Bible tells that Satan, who misled our first parents by misrepresenting God and His Word, has since misrep-

dissatisfaction. The Bible tells that in mercy God has hidden His Plan from the world, and intends that only the saintly be lievers in god and His Word, has since misrepter esented Him to mankind and that he it is to who is responsible for the "doctrines of devils" (I Timothy 4:1), respecting the totrures of the dead, whom the Scriptures declare "know not anything." (Ecclesiants astes 9:5.) The Bible tells us that God, asseeing that many of our race, dying because of Adam's transgression, would be glad to return to fellowship with their and death conditions, has made a provision of them. Our Lord Jesus by His death purchased the lives and liberties of Adam and his entire race. He died, "the Just for the unjust, that He might bring us back to God."—I Peter 3:18.

But why must we wait? Why must we pray, "Thy Kingdom come"? Why the delay? The Bible answers, again, that the Little Flock" to whom it is the Father's good pleasure to give the Kingdom. (Luke 12:32.) This Kingdom class is to be composed of the saintly few who form positive characters for righteousness by faithfully following in the footsteps of their Redeemers, by being taught of Him in the School of Christ. These faithful few of present selection, or election, are symbolically in the Second Death.

discatisfaction. The Bible has hidden His Plan from the world, and intends that only the saintly mercy God has hidden His Plan from the world, and intends that only the saintly mercy God has hidden His Plan from the world, and intends that only the saintly mercy God has hidden His Plan first plan that the Lord Jesus Christ shall understand the secrets of it. "The secret of the Lord is with them that reverence. Him; and He will show them His Covenant."—Psalm 25:14.

So, then, the work of the Christian ministers, as Divinely appointed, is to let the world alone to be dealt with in God's due time, and to comfort and sustain and instruct merely those whom the Stript has a proving the world alone to be failt. These are to Lnow the Truth and the Truth must make th

The Desire of All Nations Shalf Come.

low.

The Desire of All Nations Shalt Come.

The Lord declares that the desire of all peoples shall come. The people of the world in general would desire the coming of the Lord's glorious Kingdom and would rejoice in it, if they knew about it. The Divine provision would satisfy their longings as nothing else could do. All ministers of God should hold up before them the glorious Message of the Divine Word. This would bring them joy and peace and rest of heart, notwithstanding the incidental trials and difficulties and weaknesses of this present time of distress. Socialism stirs up the minds of men to dissatisfaction and to worry and to fear and to strife, and leads them on and on into the great time of anarchistic trouble. The Gospel Message, on the contrary, would be helpful, comforting, sustaining.

True it is not all men who are able to receive the Gospel Message; but those who-cannot receive it, would be far better off without the distracting gospel of socialism, which merely arouses them to greater dissatisfaction. The Bible tells that in mercy God has hidden His Plan from the world, and intends that only the saintly believers in the Lord Jesus Christ shall understand the secrets of it. "The secret of the Lord is with them that reverence Him; and He will show them His Covenut."—Psalm 25:14.

So, then, the work of the Christian ministers, as Divinely appointed, is to let the

### WHAT SAY THE SCRIPTURES?

ment or justice was not in the past, but has now come, they should be able to find or, percentage of the past of the second power of the past of the p